

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

m

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/939,848	08/	27/2001	Guy T. Blalock	3578 . 1US (92-555.1) 3166	
24247	7590	10/18/2004		EXAMINER	
TRASK BR	ITT			LEURIG, SH	ARLENE L
P.O. BOX 25		. 04110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110				2879	

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	,,,					
Advisory Action	09/939,848	BLALOCK ET AL.						
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>					
	Sharlene Leurig	2879						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess					
THE REPLY FILED 9-30-04 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appetexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice  i) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	ation in					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	-	<u>.                                    </u>						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterand patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. Solution is a second of the second of the second of the final Office action; or (	extension fee ension fee under 2) as set forth in					
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:							
(a) \( \square\) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE:	•							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	etion(s):							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NC	T place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: 16 and 24.								
Claim(s) rejected: 1-15,17-23,25 and 26.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	•						
10. ☐ Other:								
	·.							

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the prior art of record anticipates or teaches in combination each and every limitation of the rejected claims.

ASHOK PATEL PRIMARY EXAMINER

1